

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Hall County School System

Scott Justus, Principal 5500 McEver Rd Oakwood, GA 30566-3507

Document Generated On January 7, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary

Introduction	2
Description of the School	3
School's Purpose	4
Notable Achievements and Areas of Improvement	5
Additional Information	6

Self Assessment

Introduction	. 8
Standard 1: Purpose and Direction	. 9
Standard 2: Governance and Leadership	11
Standard 3: Teaching and Assessing for Learning	14
Standard 4: Resources and Support Systems	18
Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement	21
Report Summary	23

Stakeholder Feedback Diagnostic

Introduction	25
Stakeholder Feedback Data	26
Evaluative Criteria and Rubrics	27

Areas of Notable Achievement	28
Areas in Need of Improvement	29
Report Summary	30

Student Performance Diagnostic

Introduction	32
Student Performance Data	33
Evaluative Criteria and Rubrics	34
Areas of Notable Achievement	35
Areas in Need of Improvement	36
Report Summary	37

AdvancED Assurances

Introduction	39
AdvancED Assurances	40

Executive Summary

Introduction

Every school has its own story to tell. The context in which teaching and learning takes place influences the processes and procedures by which the school makes decisions around curriculum, instruction, and assessment. The context also impacts the way a school stays faithful to its vision. Many factors contribute to the overall narrative such as an identification of stakeholders, a description of stakeholder engagement, the trends and issues affecting the school, and the kinds of programs and services that a school implements to support student learning.

The purpose of the Executive Summary (ES) is to provide a school with an opportunity to describe in narrative form the strengths and challenges it encounters. By doing so, the public and members of the school community will have a more complete picture of how the school perceives itself and the process of self-reflection for continuous improvement. This summary is structured for the school to reflect on how it provides teaching and learning on a day to day basis.

Description of the School

Describe the school's size, community/communities, location, and changes it has experienced in the last three years. Include demographic information about the students, staff, and community at large. What unique features and challenges are associated with the community/communities the school serves?

West Hall High School is a very diverse and close knit community. Our school size is approximately 1100 with about 45% White, 45% Latino, and 10% other. We are located in Oakwood, Georgia. In recent years have seen a lot of business and industrial growth; we have not seen much of an increase in our student population, though.

Our numbers have remained around 1100 for the last few years. However, our Latino population has increased approximately 10% in those years.

We have a staff of approximately 100. Over half of our certified teachers have advanced degrees. Our administration consists of a principal and three assistant principals. In addition, we have two guidance counselors and a graduation coach. In addition to the four academic areas, we serve students in the following programs/areas: Fine Arts, CTE, Modern Language, IB, Innovation Institute, and AP. We also offer a wide range of extracurricular activities in which over half of our student body participates.

School's Purpose

Provide the school's purpose statement and ancillary content such as mission, vision, values, and/or beliefs. Describe how the school embodies its purpose through its program offerings and expectations for students.

The mission of West Hall High School is to develop lifelong learners for a global society. The culture of our school is one of acceptance where diversity is embraced, passions are explored, and education is paramount. We strive to meet the goals of our school by offering students a wide variety of educational opportunities, including but not limited to, IB/AP/Honors courses; co-taught and study skills courses; CTE courses; Fine Arts courses; Modern Language courses; and numerous co- and extra-curricular clubs and athletics. Opportunities for dual enrollment at both Lanier Technical College and the University of North Georgia are available for our upperclassmen.

Notable Achievements and Areas of Improvement

Describe the school's notable achievements and areas of improvement in the last three years. Additionally, describe areas for improvement that the school is striving to achieve in the next three years.

In the last three years, we have developed and grown our programs of choice and our pathway programs (Modern Language, Fine Arts, CTE). Each year, we have had a positive progression of students graduating with an IB diploma. We have also shown improvement in the number of students successfully passing national End-of-Pathway assessments, and our AP participation rate is 42%. We are the only public school in the state of Georgia offering the IB Bilingual Diploma.

We also offer our students the opportunity to earn an IB Certificate. We are in the application process to begin offering the IB Career Certificate.

In the U.S. News and World Report's 2013 list of "Best High Schools," West Hall ranks 32nd in the state of Georgia. We were named a "silver school" on the list.

In the next few years, we have room for growth in regard to our CCRPI score and our standardized testing scores. More specifically, we need to focus on closing the achievement gap. As we introduce more courses aligned with the CCGPS, we will need to implement more professional development related to serving and reaching our lowest-performing population.

•

Additional Information

Provide any additional information you would like to share with the public and community that were not prompted in the previous sections.

Self Assessment

Introduction

AdvancED's Self Assessment (SA) diagnostic is based on the AdvancED Standards of Quality, which serves as the foundation of the accreditation and continuous improvement process. The SA is a valuable tool for collaboratively engaging staff members and stakeholders in purposeful, honest dialogue and reflection to assess the institution's adherence to the Standards, and guide its continuous improvement efforts. The SA includes the institution's self-ratings of and the evidence cited for each of the indicators, comments that explain the indicator's ratings and an overall narrative for each Standard. The results of the SA are reviewed by the External Review Team as one essential component of the preparation process for the institution's External Review.

West Hall High School

Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

The school maintains and communicates a purpose and direction that commit to high expectations for learning as well as shared values and

beliefs about teaching and learning.

Overall Rating: 3.0

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.1	The school engages in a systematic, inclusive, and comprehensive process to review, revise, and communicate a school purpose for student success.	The school's process for review, revision, and communication of the purpose statement is documented. The process is formalized and implemented on a regular schedule. The process includes participation by representatives from all stakeholder groups. The purpose statement focuses on student success.	 Survey results Examples of communications to stakeholders about the school's purpose (i.e. website, newsletters, annual report, student handbook) Purpose statements - past and present Present statement in place several years. Leadership team voted to keep it the same over the summer. 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.2	The school's leadership and staff commit to a culture that is based on shared values and beliefs about teaching and learning and supports challenging, equitable educational programs and learning experiences for all students that include achievement of learning, thinking, and life skills.	Commitment to shared values and beliefs about teaching and learning is evident in documentation and decision making. This commitment is regularly reflected in communication among leaders and staff. Challenging educational programs and equitable learning experiences are implemented so that all students achieve learning, thinking, and life skills necessary for success. Evidence indicates a commitment to instructional practices that include active student engagement, a focus on depth of understanding, and the application of knowledge and skills. School leadership and staff share high expectations for professional practice.	•Survey results	Level 3

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
1.3	The school's leadership implements a continuous improvement process that provides clear direction for improving conditions that support student learning.	School leaders implement a documented, systematic continuous improvement process for improving student learning and the conditions that support learning. All stakeholder groups are engaged in the process. School personnel maintain a profile with current and comprehensive data on student and school performance. The profile contains analyses of data used to identify goals for the improvement of achievement and instruction that are aligned with the school's purpose. Improvement goals have measurable performance targets. The process includes action planning that identifies measurable objectives, strategies, activities, resources, and timelines for achieving improvement goals. School leaders hold all school personnel accountable for and evaluate the overall quality of the implementation of all interventions and strategies. The process is reviewed and evaluated. Documentation that the process yields improved student achievement and instruction is available and communicated to stakeholders.	improvement plan	Level 3

Reflect upon your responses to each of the indicators and performance levels by considering and responding to the following questions when drafting your narrative response. Use language from the performance level descriptions to guide your writing. Cite sources of evidence External Review team members may be interested in reviewing.

Our strengths include a drive towards continuous improvement and improving our student learning. Survey results, as well as discussions at leadership team and department chair meetings, support this finding. We rely heavily on those two groups to assist administrators in making the best decisions for our students.

For this standard, our area of improvement is continually reviewing and revising our school purpose. Over the summer, we discussed and voted on keeping the same motto and purpose with the leadership team. However, we need to do a better job of including the entire school (all faculty, staff, and students) in this process.

Standard 2: Governance and Leadership

The school operates under governance and leadership that promote and support student performance and school effectiveness.

Overall Rating: 3.0

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.1	The governing body establishes policies and supports practices that ensure effective administration of the school.	Policies and practices support the school's purpose and direction and the effective operation of the school. Policies and practices promote effective instruction and assessment that produce equitable and challenging learning experiences for all students. There are policies and practices regarding professional growth of all staff. Policies and practices provide requirements, direction for, and oversight of fiscal management.		Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.2	The governing body operates responsibly and functions effectively.	in accordance with defined roles and responsibilities, a code of ethics, and free of conflict of interest. Governing body members participate in a systematic, formal professional development process regarding the roles	 Communication plan to inform all staff on code of ethics, responsibilities, conflict of interest List of assigned staff for compliance Proof of legal counsel 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.3	The governing body ensures that the school leadership has the autonomy to meet goals for achievement and instruction and to manage day-to-day operations effectively.	and respects the autonomy of school leadership to accomplish goals for improvement in student learning and	 Roles and responsibilities of school leadership School improvement plan developed by the school Agendas and minutes of meetings Agendas from leadership team and department chair meetings. 	Level 3

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.4	Leadership and staff foster a culture consistent with the school's purpose and direction.	Leaders and staff deliberately and consistently align their decisions and actions toward continuous improvement to achieve the school's purpose. They encourage, support, and expect all students to be held to high standards in all courses of study. All stakeholders are collectively accountable for student learning. School leaders actively and consistently support and encourage innovation, collaboration, shared leadership, and rigorous professional growth. The culture is characterized by collaboration and a sense of community among all stakeholders.	 Examples of collaboration and shared leadership Survey results Examples of decisions in support of the school's continuous improvement plan 	Level 4

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.5	Leadership engages stakeholders effectively in support of the school's purpose and direction.	Leaders sometimes communicate effectively with stakeholder groups, provide opportunities for stakeholders to shape decisions, solicit feedback from stakeholders, work collaboratively on school improvement efforts, and provide some leadership roles for stakeholders. School leaders' efforts result in some stakeholder participation and engagement in the school.	 Minutes from meetings with stakeholders Copies of surveys or screen shots from online surveys Involvement of stakeholders in a school improvement plan Faculty meeting, leadership meeting, and department chair meeting agendas 	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
2.6	in improved professional practice and student success.	of supervision and evaluation is improving professional practice and improving student success. Supervision and evaluation processes are regularly implemented. The results of the	•Examples of professional development offerings and plans tied specifically to the results from supervision and evaluation •TKES evaluations	

Reflect upon your responses to each of the indicators and performance levels by considering and responding to the following questions when drafting your narrative response. Use language from the performance level descriptions to guide your writing. Cite sources of evidence External Review team members may be interested in reviewing.

Our leadership and staff foster a common culture that focuses on the best interests of our students, and that is our strength in this standard. Department collaboration and our school improvement plan illustrate our commitment to this common culture. We will continue to follow, and to adjust (as needed), our school improvement plan.

We need to continue to include all stakeholder's in school improvement and in school decisions (as appropriate). We have started to utilize staff surveys, but we need to increase this practice with all stakeholders.

Standard 3: Teaching and Assessing for Learning

The school's curriculum, instructional design, and assessment practices guide and ensure teacher effectiveness and student learning.

Overall Rating: 2.83

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.1		Curriculum and learning experiences in each course/class provide all students with challenging and equitable opportunities to develop learning skills, thinking skills, and life skills. There is some evidence to indicate curriculum and learning experiences prepare students for success at the next level. Like courses/classes have equivalent learning expectations. Some learning activities are individualized for each student in a way that supports achievement of expectations.	 Survey results Lesson plans Posted learning objectives Course schedules Enrollment patterns for various courses Course descriptions Vertical alignment documents 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
indicator 3.2	Statement or Question Curriculum, instruction, and assessment are monitored and adjusted systematically in response to data from multiple assessments of student learning and an examination of professional practice.	Response School personnel monitor and adjust curriculum, instruction, and assessment to ensure for vertical and horizontal alignment and alignment with the school's goals for achievement and instruction and statement of purpose. A process is implemented sometimes to ensure alignment when curriculum, instruction, and/or assessments are reviewed or revised. There is limited evidence that the continuous improvement process ensures vertical and horizontal alignment and alignment with the school's purpose in curriculum,	•Common assessments •Surveys results •Lesson plans aligned to the curriculum	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.3	Teachers engage students in their learning through instructional strategies that ensure achievement of learning expectations.	Teachers plan and use instructional strategies that require student collaboration, self-reflection, and development of critical thinking skills. Teachers personalize instructional strategies and interventions to address individual learning needs of students when necessary. Teachers use instructional strategies that require students to apply knowledge and skills, integrate content and skills with other disciplines, and use technologies as instructional resources and learning tools.	 Examples of teacher use of technology as an instructional resource Examples of student use of technology as a learning tool Findings from supervisor walk-thrus and observations Surveys results 	Level 3

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.4	School leaders monitor and support the improvement of instructional practices of teachers to ensure student success.	School leaders formally and consistently monitor instructional practices through supervision and evaluation procedures to ensure that they 1) are aligned with the school's values and beliefs about teaching and learning, 2) are teaching the approved curriculum, 3) are directly engaged with all students in the oversight of their learning, and 4) use content-specific standards of professional practice.	 Documentation of collection of lesson plans and grade books Recognition of teachers with regard to these practices Surveys results Examples of improvements to instructional practices resulting from the evaluation process 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.5	Teachers participate in collaborative learning communities to improve instruction and student learning.	participate in collaborative learning communities that meet both informally and formally. Collaboration occasionally occurs across grade levels and content areas. Staff members promote discussion about student learning. Learning from, using, and discussing the	 Common language, protocols and reporting tools Calendar/schedule of learning community meetings Survey results 	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.6	instructional process in support of student learning.	All teachers use an instructional process that informs students of learning expectations and standards of performance. Exemplars are often provided to guide and inform students. The process includes multiple measures, including formative assessments, to inform the ongoing modification of instruction and provide data for possible curriculum revision. The process provides students with specific and timely feedback about their learning.	•Examples of assessments that prompted modification in	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.7	instructional improvement consistent with the school's values and beliefs about teaching	Some school personnel are engaged in mentoring, coaching, and induction programs that are consistent with the school's values and beliefs about teaching, learning, and the conditions that support learning. These programs set expectations for school personnel.	•Records of meetings and walk thrus/feedback sessions •Survey results	Level 2

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.8	The school engages families in meaningful ways in their children's education and keeps them informed of their children's learning progress.	Programs that engage families in meaningful ways in their children's education are designed and implemented. School personnel regularly inform families of their children's learning progress.	 Survey results List of varied activities and communications modes with families, e.g., info portal, online, newsletters, parent centers, academic nights, open house, early release days Calendar outlining when and how families are provided information on child's progress 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.9	The school has a formal structure whereby each student is well known by at least one adult advocate in the school who supports that student's educational experience.	School personnel participate in a structure that gives them long-term interaction with individual students, allowing them to build strong relationships over time with the student and related adults. All students participate in the structure. The structure allows the school employee to gain significant insight into and serve as an advocate for the student's needs	 List of students matched to adult advocate Survey results Curriculum and activities of formal adult advocate structure Master schedule with time for formal adult advocate structure Description of formal adult advocate structures 	Level 4

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.10	Grading and reporting are based on clearly defined criteria that represent the attainment of content knowledge and skills and are consistent across grade levels and courses.	Teachers use common grading and reporting policies, processes, and procedures based on clearly defined criteria that represent each student's attainment of content knowledge and skills. These policies, processes, and procedures are implemented consistently across grade levels and courses. Stakeholders are aware of the policies, processes, and procedures. The policies, processes, and procedures are regularly evaluated.	 Survey results Sample report cards for each grade level and for all courses Policies, processes, and procedures on grading and reporting Course syllabi 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.11	All staff members participate in a continuous program of professional learning.	All staff members participate in a continuous program of professional learning that is aligned with the school's purpose and direction. Professional development is based on an assessment of needs of the school. The program builds capacity among all professional and support staff. The program is systematically evaluated for effectiveness in improving instruction, student learning, and the conditions that support learning.	 Survey results Brief explanation of alignment between professional learning and identified needs School Improvement Plan 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
3.12	The school provides and coordinates learning support services to meet the unique learning needs of students.	School personnel use data to identify unique learning needs of all students at all levels of proficiency as well as other learning needs (such as second languages). School personnel stay current on research related to unique characteristics of learning (such as learning styles, multiple intelligences, personality type indicators) and provide or coordinate related learning support services to all students.	 Survey results List of learning support services and student population served by such services Data used to identify unique learning needs of students 	Level 3

Reflect upon your responses to each of the indicators and performance levels by considering and responding to the following questions when drafting your narrative response. Use language from the performance level descriptions to guide your writing. Cite sources of evidence External Review team members may be interested in reviewing.

Our greatest strength within this standard is that we have a formal structure in place where each student is well known by at least one adult advocate in the school building. This is in large part due to our advisement program. We have grade-level specific advisements that progress through high school together. We continue to create meaningful lesson plans for our advisors to use in our frequent sessions (twice per month).

Our survey results also indicate that our faculty and staff believe that we consistently provide equitable and challenging learning experiences to all of our students.

Our weakest area within this standard is collaboration within learning communities to improve teaching and learning. One of our SIP goals this year centers around departmental collaboration. We are setting aside specific blocks of time for collaboration this year, including vertical alignment.

Standard 4: Resources and Support Systems

The school has resources and provides services that support its purpose and direction to ensure success for all students.

Overall Rating: 3.0

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.1	Qualified professional and support staff are sufficient in number to fulfill their roles and	Policies, processes, and procedures ensure that school leaders have access to, hire, place, and retain gualified	•School budgets for the last three years	Level 3
	responsibilities necessary to support the school's purpose,	professional and support staff. School leaders systematically determine the	•Survey results	
	direction, and the educational program.	number of personnel necessary to fill all the roles and responsibilities necessary to support the school purpose,	•Documentation of highly qualified staff	
		educational programs, and continuous improvement. Sustained fiscal resources are available to fund positions critical to achieve the purpose and direction of the	•Course requests (allotments)	
		school.		

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.2	Instructional time, material resources, and fiscal resources are sufficient to support the purpose and direction of the school.	Instructional time, material resources, and fiscal resources are sometimes focused on supporting the purpose and direction of the school. Instructional time is usually protected. School leaders attempt to secure material and fiscal resources to meet the needs of all students. School leaders express a desire to allocate instructional time, material resources, and fiscal resources so that all students have equitable opportunities to attain challenging learning expectations. Efforts toward the continuous improvement of instruction and operations sometimes include achieving the school's purpose and direction.	 Examples of efforts of school leaders to secure necessary material and fiscal resources Survey results School schedule Alignment of budget with school purpose and direction School calendar 	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.3	The school maintains facilities, services, and equipment to provide a safe, clean, and healthy environment for all students and staff.	School leaders have adopted or collaboratively created clear definitions and expectations for maintaining safety, cleanliness, and a healthy environment and they have shared these definitions and expectations with all stakeholders. All school personnel and students are accountable for maintaining these expectations. Valid measures are in place that allow for continuous tracking of these conditions. Improvement plans are developed and implemented by appropriate personnel to continuously improve these conditions. The results of improvement efforts are systematically evaluated regularly.	 Survey results Documentation of compliance with local and state inspections requirements Documentation of emergency procedures such as fire drills, evacuation and other emergency procedures. System for maintenance requests Safety committee responsibilities, meeting schedules, and minutes 	Level 4

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.4	Students and school personnel use a range of media and information resources to support the school's educational programs.	Students and school personnel have access to media and information resources necessary to achieve the educational programs of the school. Qualified personnel are available to assist students and school personnel in learning about the tools and locations for finding and retrieving information.	 Budget related to media and information resource acquisition Survey results Schedule of staff availability to assist students and school personnel related to finding and retrieving information 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.5	The technology infrastructure supports the school's teaching, learning, and operational needs.	teaching, learning, and operational needs of all stakeholders. School personnel develop and administer needs assessments and use the resulting data to develop and implement a technology plan to improve technology services and infrastructure.	•Technology plan and budget to improve technology services and infrastructure •Survey results •Policies relative to technology use	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.6	The school provides support services to meet the physical, social, and emotional needs of the student population being served.	School personnel implement a process to determine the physical, social, and emotional needs of each student in the school. School personnel provide or coordinate programs to meet the needs of students as necessary. Measures of program effectiveness are in place, and school personnel use the data from these measures to evaluate all programs. Improvement plans related to these programs are designed and implemented when needed to more effectively meet the needs of students.	 Agreements with school community agencies for student-family support Survey results Schedule of family services, e.g., parent classes, survival skills List of support services available to students 	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
4.7	support the counseling,	School personnel implement a process to determine the counseling, assessment, referral, educational, and career planning needs of all students. School personnel provide or coordinate programs necessary to meet the needs of students whenever possible. Measures of program effectiveness are in place, and school personnel use the data from these measures to evaluate all programs. Improvement plans related to these programs are designed and implemented when needed to more effectively meet the needs of students.	 List of services available related to counseling, assessment, referral, educational, and career planning Survey results Description of IEP process Description of referral process 	Level 3

Reflect upon your responses to each of the indicators and performance levels by considering and responding to the following questions when drafting your narrative response. Use language from the performance level descriptions to guide your writing. Cite sources of evidence External Review team members may be interested in reviewing.

SY 2014-2015

© 2015 Advance Education, Inc. All rights reserved unless otherwise granted by written agreement.

West Hall High School

Based on the results of our survey, our media and information resources are strong. Our media specialists are always searching for the latest and greatest in educational technology, and they share information with students and staff in a timely fashion.

Our weakness within this standard is related to sufficient instructional time, material resources and fiscal resources. We have tried to improve on the material and fiscal resources by realigning our instructional funds and searching out new community partners. We will continue to explore ways to improve instructional time and cut down on interruptions. West Hall High School

Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement

The school implements a comprehensive assessment system that generates a range of data about student learning and school effectiveness and uses the results to guide continuous improvement.

Overall Rating: 2.4

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.1	The school establishes and maintains a clearly defined and comprehensive student assessment system.	School personnel maintain and use an assessment system that produces data from multiple assessment measures, including locally developed and standardized assessments about student learning and school performance. The system ensures consistent measurement across classrooms and courses. Most assessments, especially those related to student learning, are proven reliable and bias free. The system is regularly evaluated for reliability and effectiveness in improving instruction, student learning, and the conditions that support learning.	standardized and local assessments on student	Level 3

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.2	apply learning from a range of data sources, including	Some processes and procedures for collecting, analyzing, and applying learning from data sources are used by professional and support staff. Data sources include limited comparison and trend data about student learning, instruction, the effectiveness of programs, and organizational conditions. School personnel use data to design, implement, and evaluate continuous improvement plans.	 Survey results Examples of use of data to design, implement, and evaluate continuous improvement plans and apply learning List of data sources related to student learning, instruction, program effectiveness, and conditions that support learning School Improvement Plan 	Level 2

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating
5.3	trained in the evaluation, interpretation, and use of data.		•Documentation of attendance and training related to data use •Survey results	Level 2

Accreditation Report

West Hall High School

Indicator	Statement or Question	Response	Evidence	Rating	
5.4	The school engages in a continuous process to determine verifiable improvement in student learning, including readiness for and success at the next level.	A process exists for analyzing data that determine improvement in student learning, including readiness for and success at the next level. Results indicate mixed levels of improvement, and school personnel sometimes use these results to design, implement, and evaluate the results of continuous improvement action plans related to student learning, including readiness for and success at the next level.	 Agendas, minutes of meetings related to analysis of data Description of process for analyzing data to determine verifiable improvement in student learning Examples of use of results to evaluate continuous improvement action plans 	Level 2	

Indicator	Statement or Question	Statement or Question Response Evidence		Rating	
5.5	Leadership monitors and communicates comprehensive information about student learning, conditions that support student learning, and the achievement of school improvement goals to stakeholders.	Leaders monitor comprehensive information about student learning, conditions that support student learning, and the achievement of school improvement goals. Leaders regularly communicate results using multiple delivery methods to all stakeholder groups.	 Communication plan regarding student learning, conditions that support learning, and achievement of school improvement goals to stakeholders Survey results Sample communications to stakeholders regarding student learning, conditions that support learning, and achievement of school improvement goals 	Level 3	

Reflect upon your responses to each of the indicators and performance levels by considering and responding to the following questions when drafting your narrative response. Use language from the performance level descriptions to guide your writing. Cite sources of evidence External Review team members may be interested in reviewing.

According to survey results, our leadership is strong in communication related to student learning and achievement. We work continuously with our system level school improvement specialist. We will continue to seek training on data analysis to maintain this positive level.

Our first goal of our school improvement plan is to close the achievement gap for our EOCs. We are developing and implementing benchmarks and remediation plans to ensure that our students are mastering the current course standards and are prepared the subsequent course.

Report Summary

Scores By Section



Stakeholder Feedback Diagnostic

Introduction

The Stakeholder Feedback Diagnostic is designed to analyze the institution's survey results in terms of areas of achievement and areas that need improvement. Further, the diagnostic is essential to the accreditation and continuous improvement processes in that it provides the institution with a comprehensive view of the aggregate scores of the surveys administered, and the actual total of respondents for each survey type to derive a single score for this diagnostic. The performance level score computed at the completion of the diagnostic is used to broaden and enhance the external review team's understanding of the stakeholder's perceptions of the institution; the diagnostic should be used in the same manner by the institution as it engages in improvement planning.

Stakeholder Feedback Data

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
1.	Did you complete the Stakeholder Feedback Data document offline and upload below?	Yes		Stakeholder Data Doc

Evaluative Criteria and Rubrics

Overall Rating: 3.5

	Statement or Question	Response	Rating
2.		All questionnaires had an average item value of 3.20 or above (on a 5.0 scale). Results of stakeholder feedback collected by the institution were acceptably analyzed and presented with reasonable clarity.	

Areas of Notable Achievement

Which area(s) indicate the overall highest level of satisfaction or approval?

Our highest level of approval comes under standard 2. Stakeholders agree that the leadership of WHHS support students, teachers, and the overall effectiveness of the school.

Which area(s) show a trend toward increasing stakeholder satisfaction or approval?

Overall student satisfaction at WHHS appears to be increasing. We have a rejuvenated sense of community and school pride, and our students are embracing their school, as is evident from their survey results.

Which of the above reported findings are consistent with findings from other stakeholder feedback sources?

We have limited stakeholder feedback sources, as we were going through GAPSS Review simultaneously with AdvancEd Review. However, the survey results of staff, parents, and students appear to be consistent.

Areas in Need of Improvement

Which area(s) indicate the overall lowest level of satisfaction or approval?

Across the board, stakeholders rated standard 5 lower than others. At WHHS, we assess as we should. However, stakeholders believe that the results and data that are derived from such assessments could be better analyzed and utilized.

Which area(s) show a trend toward decreasing stakeholder satisfaction or approval?

Standard 5 was lowest for both parent and student surveys.

What are the implications for these stakeholder perceptions?

Students and parents feel as if data is not used for improvement as much as it could be used. Transparency and more in-depth data analysis will help. If we have the data, we should utilize it to improve student achievement and instruction.

Which of the above reported findings are consistent with findings from other stakeholder feedback sources?

All findings are consistent among all stakeholders.

Report Summary



Scores By Section

Student Performance Diagnostic

Introduction

The Student Performance Diagnostic provides an institution with a process to report summative student assessments. This diagnostic is significant to the accreditation and continuous improvement process as it serves as a resource for schools to view content area assessment results required by the state, district, or other entities, determine the quality and reliability of the given assessments, and show the alignment of the assessments to the school's curriculum. The performance level computed at the completion of the diagnostic is used by the external review team as a comprehensive report to understand fully the institution's assessment program; the diagnostic should be used in the same manner by the institution as it engages in improvement planning.

Student Performance Data

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
1.	Did you complete the Student Performance Data document offline and upload below?	Yes		Data Document

Evaluative Criteria and Rubrics

Overall Rating: 2.5

	Statement or Question	Response	Rating
1.	Assessment Quality	The array of assessment devices used by the institution to determine students' performances is sufficiently aligned so that valid inferences can be reached regarding students' status with respect to the majority of those curricular aims regarded as high-priority instructional targets. The documentation provided in support of this alignment is relatively persuasive. Most of the assessments used are accompanied by evidence demonstrating that they satisfy accepted technical requirements.	Level 3

	Statement or Question	Response	Rating
2.	Test Administration	All the assessments used by the institution to determine students' performances, whether externally acquired or internally developed, have been administered with complete fidelity to the administrative procedures appropriate for each assessment. In every instance, the students to whom these assessments were administered are accurately representative of the students served by the institution. Appropriate accommodations have been provided for all assessments so that valid inferences can be made about all students' status with respect to all of the institution's targeted curricular outcomes.	Level 4

	Statement or Question	Response	Rating
3.	Quality of Learning	Evidence of student learning promoted by the institution is indifferently analyzed and presented with little clarity. In comparison to institutions functioning in a similar educational context, students' status, improvement, and/or growth evidence indicates that the level of student learning is below what would otherwise be expected.	Level 2

	Statement or Question	Response	Rating
4.		Evidence of student learning indicates achievement gaps exist among subpopulations of students, and that minimal or no change has occurred in these achievement gaps.	Level 1

Areas of Notable Achievement

Which area(s) are above the expected levels of performance?

Ninth Grade Literature (EOC) Physical Science (EOC)

Describe the area(s) that show a positive trend in performance.

Ninth Grade Literature (EOC) Economics -- students exceeding expected level (EOC) Physical Science Coordinate Algebra (has improved, but not where it needs to be) (EOC) Graduation Rate

Which area(s) indicate the overall highest performance?

English EOCs Science EOCs

Which subgroup(s) show a trend toward increasing performance?

Students with Disabilities

Between which subgroups is the achievement gap closing?

Students with Disabilities Economically Disadvantaged

Which of the above reported findings are consistent with findings from other data sources?

All of the above. Data is from SLDS and DOE CCRPI reports.

© 2015 Advance Education, Inc. All rights reserved unless otherwise granted by written agreement.

Areas in Need of Improvement

Which area(s) are below the expected levels of performance?

Math EOCs Georgia High School Writing Test US History

Describe the area(s) that show a negative trend in performance.

Math EOCs Biology (EOC)

Which area(s) indicate the overall lowest performance?

Math

Which subgroup(s) show a trend toward decreasing performance?

ELL

Hispanic

Between which subgroups is the achievement gap becoming greater?

ELL

Which of the above reported findings are consistent with findings from other data sources?

All of the above. All data gathered from SLDS and GA DOE CCRPI report.

Report Summary



Scores By Section

AdvancED Assurances

Introduction

AdvancED Policies and Procedures outline certain requirements that all institutions must meet in order to be in compliance. Institutions are required to verify whether or not they meet these requirements by answering a series of questions and in some cases, attaching evidence for review.

By responding to the questions in ASSIST and attaching evidence when required, the institution has verified whether it meets or does not meet each of the Assurances for Accreditation.

AdvancED Assurances

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
1.	The institution has read, understands, and complies with the AdvancED Policies and Procedures.	Yes		

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
2.	 The institution has reported all substantive changes in the institution that affect the scope and/or have an impact on the institution's ability to meet the AdvancED standards and policies. Such changes include, but are not limited to: Restructuring (merging, opening, or closing) of the institution or institution(s) within its jurisdiction Mission and purpose of the institution, including changing to a charter school/school system, being the subject of a state takeover, or a change in ownership Grade levels served by the institution Staffing, including administrative and other non-teaching professionals personnel Available facilities, including upkeep and maintenance Level of funding School day or school year Establishment of an additional location geographically apart from the main campus Student population that causes program or staffing modification(s) 			

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
	The institution implements a written security and crisis management plan which includes emergency evacuation procedures and appropriate training for stakeholders. Attach the security and crisis management plan. (optional)	Yes		

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
	The institution monitors all financial transactions through a recognized, regularly audited accounting system.	Yes		

Label	Assurance	Response	Comment	Attachment
	The institution engages in a continuous improvement process and implements an improvement plan. Attach the improvement plan if the plan is not located in AdvancED's Adaptive System of School Improvement Support Tools (ASSIST).	Yes		14-15 SIP

SY 2014-2015

© 2015 Advance Education, Inc. All rights reserved unless otherwise granted by written agreement.